



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/675,069	09/28/2000	Kenneth W. Batcher	72255/02663	2842
23380	7590 04/05/2004		EXAMINER	
TUCKER, ELLIS & WEST LLP			LIPMAN, JACOB	
1150 HUNTINGTON BUILDING 925 EUCLID AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	O, OH 44115-1475		2134	1 -
			DATE MAILED: 04/05/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			124
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>
_	09/675,069	BATCHER, KENNETH	W.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jacob Lipman	2134	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	<b>}</b>
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the provision of the provi	136(a). In no event, however, may a r ply within the statutory minimum of thin I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become Af	eply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this commun BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 s</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal mat	• •	its is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac  Applicant may not request that any objection to the  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeyar ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	opplication No received in this National Stag	e
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/675,069

Art Unit: 2134

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The blanks on page 13, lines 21 and 22, must be replaced by the application number and date.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 9-12, and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claims 1, 3, 9, 11, and 17 recite the limitation "said decryption operation". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.
- 5. Claim 19 recites the limitation "said encryption operation". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/675,069

Art Unit: 2134

7. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Newton in Encyclopedia of Cryptology.

With regard to claims 1-24, Newton discloses loading into memory a plurality of keys simultaneously to decrypting the previous data frame (page 223, section labeled "priming key"). Newton discloses using the previously decrypted letter as a key to decrypt the next letter, thus the key is loaded while decrypting the previous data frame (letter). Newton does not disclose using this method on a computer system. The examiner takes official notice that encryption algorithms are commonly used on computer systems to speed up the encryption of large amounts of data. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement Newton's algorithm on a computer to speed up the encryption of large amounts of data.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacob Lipman whose telephone number is 703-305-0716. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 - 5 M-Th, and alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on 703-308-4789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/675,069

Art Unit: 2134

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JL

SUPERV!

MINER 90